

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01936 162203Z

65/46

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 NEA-10 IO-11 ISO-00 STR-04 STRE-00

SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 XMB-02 OPIC-03 FEA-01

AGR-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 FRB-03 H-02

INR-07 INT-05 L-03 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 AID-05

CIEP-01 SS-15 ITC-01 TRSE-00 PRS-01 SP-02 OMB-01 /113 W
----- 037651

O R 151120Z MAR 76

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8729

INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE GENEVA 1936

PASS STR FOR MIKE SMITH

C O R R E C T E D COPY: TEXT CHANGE PARA 3 LNS 6,7,&8

E.9. 11652: BM/QA

TAGS: GATT, ETRD

SUBJECT: TEXTILES: EC-PAKISTAN DISPUTE ON EC PHASE-OUT PROGRAM

REF: (A) GENEVA 1852 (B) GENEVA 1600

1. GATT DIR GEN LONG INFORMED US TSB REP AFTERNOON MAR 12 IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING HIS RETURN FROM PAK VISIT (PARA 4 REF B) OF HIS DISCUSSIONS WITH PAK OFFICIALS ON PA'S MANILA INITIATIVE. LONG STARTED OUT BY SAYING THAT WHILE IT HAD BEEN HIS INTENT TO RAISE SUBJECT WITH PAKS, HE NEVER HAD A CHANCE; THEY RAISED IT IN OPENING TALKS AND DIRECTLY, OVERTLY RELATED THEIR MANILA INITIATIVE TO THEIR DISSATISFACTION WITH MFA AS INSTITUTION WHERE ONE PARTICIPANT (ZC) COULD FLAGRANTLY DISHONOR, APPARENTLY WITH IMPUNITY, ITS OBLIGATIONS. LONG SAID
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 01936 162203Z

SUBJECT EC DISPUTE AROSE IN VIRTUALLY EVERY TALK HE HAD HAD

FROM TOP OF GOP, THROUGH WORKING LEVEL DOWN TO INDUSTRY LEADERS. HIS CONCLUSION WAS THAT IF MFA WAS TO BE EXTENDED WITHOUT LENGTHY, TIME-CONSUMING DEBATE AND NEGOTIATION OF EXTENSIVE AMENDMENT, WHICH EXPORTING COUNTRIES LED BY PAKISTAN, INDIA AND OTHERS ARE SURE TO DEMAND, AN EARLY SETTLEMENT OF EC-PAK DISPUTE WAS, IN HIS VIEW, A SINE QUA NON. LONG SAID THAT PAK OFFICIALS HAD MADE CLEAR TO HIM THAT WHILE THEY THOUGHT MFA AS IT STOOD WAS A USEFUL INSTITUTION AND HAD BEEN OF BENEFIT TO PAKISTAN, THEY COULD NOT AND WOULD NOT SUPPORT ITS EXTENSION IF EC WAS ALLOWED "TO GET AWAY WITH" WHAT THEY ARE DOING UNDER THEIR PHASE-OUT PROGRAM. IN PAK VIEW, NOT ONLY WAS EC INTERPRETATION OF ART 2 WRONG AND SELF-SERVING, BUT, MOREOVER, EC NEGOTIATORS WERE DISHONEST IN FAILING TO MAKE CLEAR TO PAKS THEIR ART 2 POSITION BEFORE SIGNING BILATERAL. IN SUMMARY, RIGHTLY OR WRONGLY, PAKS BELIEVE THEY HAVE BEEN "CHEATED" BY EC. LONG SAID HE ALSO CONVEYING FOREGOING TO EC.

2. US REP TOLD LONG OF POSSIBILITY OF "POLITICAL SETTLEMENT" (PARA 5 REF A) IN COURSE SOAMES' VISIT. LONG SAID HE THOUGHT HIS HAD POSSIBILITIES AND WOULD SO INFORM EC. SAID IF THIS DIDN'T HAPPEN, THOSE INTERESTED IN MFA EXTENSION WOULD, IN HIS VIEW, HAVE TO EXERT MAXIMUM PRESSURE ON BOTH EC AND PAKISTAN. IN THIS CONNECTION, OBSERVED THAT HE UNDER IMPRESSION "US HAD CONSIDERABLE INFLUENCE IN ISLAMABAD" AND, IN HIS OPINION, SHOULD CONSIDER USING IT. LONG AND US REP AGREED KEEP IN CLOSE TOUCH REGARDING DEVELOPMENTS THIS PROBLEM.

3. AFTER CONSIDERABLE THOUGHT, US REP BELIEVES THAT SITUATION NOT YET RIPE FOR US INTERVENTION WITH ISLAMABAD. WE SHOULD AWAIT OUTCOME SOAMES DISCUSSIONS WITH PAKS. PAK REPS HERE HAVE AGREED KEEP US REP INFORMED CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS. IN ANY EVENT, SHOULD SOAMES-PAK TALKS HAVE NEGATIVE RESULT, BELIEVE WE SHOULD MAKE OUR FIRST BILATERAL EFFORT WITH EC. EC IS WRONG IN POSITION THAT UNILATERAL PHASE-OUT IS LEGAL UNDER MFA ART 2 PARA 2(II) PROVISIONS; IT IS NOT. THOUGH IT DOUBTFUL WE WILL HAVE ANY CONCRETE NEWS FROM ISLAMABAD BY TIME MEYNELL'S LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 01936 162203Z

WASHINGTON VISIT, WASHINGTON SHOULD: A) PULL OUT ALL STOPS IN LETTING MEYNELL KNOW THAT WE REGARD THIS DISPUTE AS A CRITICAL FACTOR IN FUTURE OF MFA; AND, B), ASK THAT EC KEEP US INFORMED OF DEVELOPMENTS. THIS, OF COURSE, MEANS DEVELOPMENTS NOT ONLY REGARDING PAKISTAN, BUT ALSO WITH INDIA, KOREA AND HONG KONG; ALL OF WHICH ARE INVOLVED IN EC UNILATERAL PHASE-OUT PROGRAMS.

4. IF ALL ELSE FAILS, US SHOULD THEN GO TO PAKS AND:

A) ASSURE THEM THAT US IS IN FULL AGREEMENT WITH PAK
INTERPRETATION MFA ART 2:2(II); B) THAT, WHILE WE WILL
GIVE THEM FULL SUPPORT ON THIS POINT IF IT COMES TO
CONFRONTION IN TSB, TSB CANNOT FORCE EC TO DO ANYTHING;
C) THAT PAKS REALLY OUGHT TO CONSIDER OVERALL BENEFITS
MFA HAS BROUGHT PAKISTAN BEFORE RISKING BRINGING DOWN
THE STRUCTURE ON AN INSOLUBLE ISSUE OF "PRINCIPLE";
AND, D) FINALLY, THAT US WILL USE ALL INFLUENCE POSSIBLE
WITH EC TO INSURE THAT ANY "NUMBERS" SETTLEMENT REACHED
WOULD MEET PAKISTAN'S REAL TRADE PROBLEMS. WE SHOULD,
AT SAME TIME, LET PAKS KNOW THAT, WHILE WE UNDERSTAND
PROVOCATION BEHIND PAK'S MANILA INTIATIVE, WE DO NOT
REGARD IT AS BEINGHIN ANY CONCRETE INTEREST OF EITHER
PAKISTAN OR US. PRAGMATIC ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING THE FORE-
GOING APPROACH SHOULD BE RELATIVELY EASY TO DEVELOP.

5. US REP WILL REPORT PROMPTLY ANY DEVELOPMENTS KNOWN
GENEVA. DALE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TRADE, TEXTILES, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 15 MAR 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976GENEVA01936
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760099-0566
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760361/aaaacbra.tel
Line Count: 135
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 GENEVA 1852, 76 GENEVA 1600
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 31 MAR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <31 MAR 2004 by MartinML>; APPROVED <01 APR 2004 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: TEXTILES: EC-PAKISTAN DISPUTE ON EC PHASE-OUT PROGRAM
TAGS: ETRD, PK, GATT, EEC
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006